Classification of Brain Signals Using Classifiers for Automated Wheelchair Application

R. Kishore Kanna[1*], Dr.R.Vasuki[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research
[2]Professor, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research
*[1]Kishorekanna007@gmail.com , [2]rkaran02@gmail.com

Abstract- The electroencephalogram (EEG) signals arrangement assumes a significant part in creating assistive recovery gadgets for genuinely handicapped performs. In this unique circumstance, EEG information were procured from 20 solid people followed by the pre-preparing and highlight extraction measure. Subsequent to removing the 12-time area highlights, two notable classifiers, specifically K-closest neighbor (KNN) and multi-facet perceptron (MLP), were utilized. The fivefold cross-approval approach was used for partitioning information into preparing and testing purposes. The outcomes showed that the exhibition of the MLP classifier was discovered better compared to the KNN classifier. MLP classifier accomplished 95% classifier precision, which is the awesome. The result of this investigation would be valuable for the online improvement of the EEG characterization model and planning the EEG based wheelchair.

Keywords- *Eeg, Knn, Brain Signal, Ica, Neuro Innovation.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The BCI framework comprises of four distinct units: (a) signal obtaining unit, (b) signal handling and grouping unit, which separates the highlights of cerebrum signals and converts those component into gadget orders, (c) a yield gadget, and (d) a working instrument for managing activity [1]. The execution of such a BCI framework depends on four essential methods (I) P300, (ii) moderate cortical possibilities, (iii) consistent state outwardly evoked possibilities (SSVEP), and (iv) engine symbolism (MI) [2]. Among these strategies, just two BCI procedures, specifically SSVEP and MI, have been essentially used for controlling the orthoses, exoskeleton, and neuroprostheses [3]. The SSVEP procedure requires the outside boosts for creating the evoked possibilities and in this way delivering a higher pace of bogus positive recognitions in long resting periods, while MI-based BCI needn't bother with any outer upgrade yet relies upon the subject's fixation [4]. In MI-based BCI, the subject thinks either right or left-hand development, and this engine symbolism action of cerebrum signal is perceived and recorded by the BCI framework [5]. Albeit, the MI-based strategy has restricted grouping exactness and results in helpless framework dependability [6] [7].

Zip circles, hard drives, CDs, and optical plates are required for putting away the accounts [8]. EEG information design changes starting with one EEG machine then onto the next, and these organizations can be changed over into bookkeeping pages by utilizing programming like MATLAB [9], [10]. The anodes need to work appropriately to record top caliber and exact information [11]. Different sorts of cathodes are utilized in the EEG recording framework like Needle terminals, Disposable (pre-gelled and gel less sorts) anodes, Saline-based anodes, Headbands and terminal covers, Reusable circle cathodes (gold, treated steel, silver, or tin) [12].

Any type of correspondence or control needs muscles and fringe nerves [13], [14]. The interaction begins with the expectation of the client [13]. This aim gives a sparkle to an unpredictable interaction that initiates a few spaces of the cerebrum, and subsequently, signals are sent to the muscles by means of the fringe sensory system, which brought about the creation of the ideal development for the control or correspondence task [15]. This cycle prompts create an activity known as efferent yield or engine yield. Efferent yield conveys the driving forces to the focal sensory system's fringe sensory system and afterward to the effectors (muscles) [16]. Afferent is something contrary to efferent. At the end of the day, it very well may be said that it passes on a message to the focal sensory system from tangible receptors [17], [18]. The efferent (engine) pathway is essential for controlling the movement, while the afferent (tactile) pathway is important for capable assignments like playing the piano or violin or composing and mastering engine abilities [19], [20].

This paper is conveyed into four sections, and the initial segment is the presentation, which gives the dta identified with the order of EEG signals. The subsequent part investigates the materials and strategies, including the EEG securing, highlight extraction, and characterization procedure. The third part examines the outcomes acquired from the MATLAB© 2020 recreation, though the fourth part exhibits the finish of work followed with future headings.

II. MATERIALS & WORKING METHODOLOGY:

A. Acquisation of EEG Data & Pre-Porcessing Working

20 solid human subjects partook in two account meetings in which they envisioned 20 right-hand developments and 20 remaining hand developments for every meeting [21]. The subjects were approached to sit in an agreeable rocker with a distance of 150 cm before the PC screen [22]. Subjects were given all fundamental guidance for information accounts like the idea of MI and BCI arrangement, full-body unwinding, and no developments during information obtaining [23]. Fig. 1 shows the exploratory embellishments wherein simple to-advanced converters (ADC) were utilized to change over simple EEG signals in the computerized structure [24]. At least 200 examples/sec testing recurrence was needed for keeping up the all suitable data of EEG signal having the transmission capacity 100 Hz [25]. After the pre-preparing steps, include extraction was finished by utilizing the CSP procedure, EOG antiques were eliminated by the ICA strategy, though measurement decrease was performed by the PCA method [26].

Fig. 1. Experiment accessories used during the EEG signals recording

B. Extraction of EEG Data:

Highlight extraction is a fundamental cycle for better characterization results. To accomplish a decent exhibition of the classifier, one should use the strong list of capabilities [27]. Fig. 2 addresses an EEG procurement arrangement with an EEG cap with dynamic terminals that move signs to the bio-signal speaker [28]. It likewise comprises of a PC that measures the information and runs the BCI application [29]. The bio-signal intensifier changes the sign from simple over to computerized structure for additional preparing and use [30]. Table 1 shows the 12 distinctive time-space highlights used in this work for assessing the exhibition of MLP and KNN classifier.

Fig. 3 EEG acquisition setup for EEG data recording from a healthy human subject

C. Computerized Classifers

Grouping is when various things or articles are recognized, recognized, and afterward understood . In basic words, it is a cycle of division of different things or items into bunches dependent on certain similitude's or properties .

In this investigation, MLP and KNN classifiers were contrasted and three unique meetings EEG dataset. Singular highlights were applied as contribution to the classifier, and their characterization precision was noted for examination purposes. The Fivefold cross-approval strategy was received for arrangement exactness calculation.

III. OUTPUT RESULTS & DISCUSSION

In this work, two classifiers, to be specific KNN and MLP classifiers, were thought about utilizing 12 time-space highlights in characterization precision. The arrangement exactness can be characterized as the genuine examples proportion to the complete number of tests. 20 sound human subjects took part in three EEG information recording meetings at the Bio-Medical Laboratory of NITTTR Chandigarh, India.

Singular highlights exactness were analyzed utilizing KNN and MLP classifier in each of the three meetings with relating standard deviation. MATLAB© 2020 was misused for acquiring the re-enactment after-effects of classifiers. The Fivefold cross-approval strategy was utilized for separating the entire EEG dataset into preparing and testing purposes.

In the Fivefold cross-approval technique, the entire EEG dataset was separated into five equivalent parts, and one section was used for testing while four sections were used for preparing the classifier.

Table 2. Performance of KNN and MLP classifier for session 1 to discriminate left and right-hand movement

Feature Rank	Features	KNN (% ACC+SD)	MLP (% ACC+SD)
1	RMS	63.3±4.5	66.8±4.6
2	MAV	62.5±4.7	65.6±5.5
3	LD	61.6±3.6	64.9±5.1
4	SSI	55.8±3.4	58.5±3.6
5	VAR	54.3±3.2	57.7±3.4
6	WL	51.4±6.0	54.5±6.2
7	ZC	48.9±2.5	51.6±3.1
8	SD	40.8±5.1	44.4±5.4
9	KUT	38.6±9.1	41.7±10.3
10	SSC	36.5±2.4	39.6±3.2
11	IAV	31.5±3.7	34.4±3.8
12	AAC	25.2±2.4	31.6±2.0

Table 2 showed the outcomes regarding arrangement precision during meeting 1 utilizing MLP and KNN classifier. Standard deviation was registered per subject. The outcomes showed that the main five best highlights were RMS, MAV, LD, SSI, and VAR with the exactness of 66.8±4.6%, 65.6±5.5 %, 64.9±5.1%, 58.5±3.6%, and 57.7±3.4% with MLP classifier, individually. The most un-five highlights, specifically SD, KUT, SSC, IAV, and AAC, performed lower than all highlights. The least exhibition highlights could be stayed away from for better outcomes or supplanted by other helpful highlights.

The exhibition of the second meeting EEG dataset was shown by Table 3 for MLP and KNN classifier. RMS include was discovered best element followed by MAV, LD, SSI, and VAR, though most reduced performing highlights were found as SD, KUT, SSC, IAV, and AAC. The best performing highlight was constantly recommended, while the least performing highlights ought to be kept away from while shaping the last element vector. MLP classifier's presentation was discovered better compared to the KNN classifier for ordering the left and right-hand engine symbolism EEG datasets.

Table 3. Performance of KNN and MLP classifier for session 2 to discriminate left and right-hand movement

Feature Rank	Features	KNN (% ACC+SD)	MLP (% ACC+SD)
1	RMS	63.5±4.1	66.5±4.8
2	MAV	62.8±4.4	65.2±5.6
3	LD	61.7±3.8	64.5±5.5
4	SSI	55.9±3.2	58.2±3.3
5	VAR	54.5±3.6	57.4±3.2
6	WL	51.7±5.5	54.2±6.6
7	ZC	48.8±2.8	51.8±3.7
8	SD	40.7±4.5	44.8±5.7
9	KUT	38.5±8.8	40.5±9.3
10	SSC	36.5±2.6	36.9±2.7
11	IAV	31.8±3.4	34.1±3.1
12	AAC	25.4±2.6	31.7±2.8

Additionally, the presentation of the third meeting EEG dataset was exhibited in Table 4. Again the RMS highlight was discovered best component followed by MAV, LD, SSI, and VAR, though the least performing highlights were found as SD, KUT, SSC, IAV, and AAC. It was obvious from Table 2 to Table 4 that the exhibition of the MLP classifier was discovered better compared to the KNN classifier for characterizing the left and right-hand engine symbolism EEG datasets. MLP classifier accomplished 95% order precision when all highlights joined as the component vector. In this way, the MLP classifier was the best grouping technique and recommended building up the online model for ordering the EEG dataset.

Table 4. Performance of KNN and MLP classifier for session 3 to discriminate left and right-hand movement

Feature Rank	Features	KNN (% ACC+SD)	MLP (% ACC+SD)
1	RMS	64.6±4.3	67.4±4.7
2	MAV	63.7±4.6	66.5±5.5
3	LD	62.6±3.7	65.8±5.4
4	SSI	56.8±3.4	59.6±3.2
5	VAR	55.7±3.8	58.4±3.1
6	WL	53.2±5.6	55.2±6.5
7	ZC	49.7±2.2	53.3±3.6
8	SD	43.4±4.4	45.6±5.9
9	KUT	40.4±8.5	42.8±9.2
10	SSC	38.8±2.5	40.7±2.6
11	IAV	33.9±3.7	35.8±3.4
12	AAC	27.5±2.3	33.1±2.6

IV. CONCLUSION

This work detailed the relative examination of 12-time space includes by utilizing the MLP and KNN classifier to arrange exactness. 20 solid human subjects took an interest in three EEG information recording meetings to envision both ways hand developments. After information obtaining, pre-preparing and highlight extraction was done, trailed by the arrangement. Results showed that the MLP classifier's presentation was superior to the KNN classifier, and the best five best highlights were RMS, MAV, LD, SSI, and VAR, though the top least performing highlights were SD, KUT, SSC, IAV, and AAC. Further, the order exactness could be improved if more vigorous and novel highlights were used for shaping the last element vector. This present examination's finding would be helpful for online EEG grouping model advancement towards mechanical recovery planning.

REFERENCE

[1]. Qureshi MB, Afzaal M, Qureshi MS, Fayaz M. Machine learning-based EEG signals classification model for epileptic seizure detection. Multimedia Tools and Applications. 2021 Feb 12:1-29.

[2]. Jana GC, Shukla S, Srivastava D, Agrawal A. Performance Estimation and Analysis Over the Supervised Learning Approaches for Motor Imagery EEG Signals Classification. InIntelligent Computing and Applications 2021 (pp. 125-141). Springer, Singapore.

[3]. Cura OK, Ozdemir MA, Akan A. Epileptic EEG Classification Using Synchrosqueezing Transform with Machine and Deep Learning Techniques. In2020 28th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO) 2021 Jan 18 (pp. 1210-1214). IEEE.

[4]. Abenna S, Nahid M, Bajit A. BCI: Classifiers Optimization for EEG Signals Acquiring in Real-Time. In2020 6th IEEE Congress on Information Science and Technology (CiSt) 2021 Jun 5 (pp. 21-26). IEEE.

[5]. Mirzaei S, Ghasemi P. EEG motor imagery classification using dynamic connectivity patterns and convolutional autoencoder. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control. 2021 Jul 1;68:102584.

[6]. Murugappan M, Zheng BS, Khairunizam W. Recurrent Quantification Analysis-Based Emotion Classification in Stroke Using Electroencephalogram Signals. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering. 2021 Feb 13:1-6.

[7]. Murugappan M, Zheng BS, Khairunizam W. Recurrent Quantification Analysis-Based Emotion Classification in Stroke Using Electroencephalogram Signals. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering. 2021 Feb 13:1-6.

[8]. Kimmatkar NV, Babu BV. Novel Approach for Emotion Detection and Stabilizing Mental State by Using Machine Learning Techniques. Computers. 2021 Mar;10(3):37.

[9]. Kanna RK, Vasuki R. Advanced BCI applications for detection of drowsiness state using EEG waveforms. Materials Today: Proceedings. 2021 Mar 1.

[10]. Kimmatkar NV, Babu BV. Novel Approach for Emotion Detection and Stabilizing Mental State by Using Machine Learning Techniques. Computers. 2021 Mar;10(3):37.

[11]. R Kishore Kanna, N.Kripa, R Vasuki . Systematic Design Of Lie Detector System Utilizing EEG Signals Acquisition .International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research'' Volume-9 Issue-2 Page no: 610-612, Feb, 2020.

[12]. Mandal S, Thakur M, Thakur K, Singh BK. Comparative Investigation of Different Classification Techniques for Epilepsy Detection Using EEG Signals. In Advances in Biomedical Engineering and Technology 2021 (pp. 413-424). Springer, Singapore.

[13]. R Kishore Kanna, R Vasuki . Advanced Study of ICA in EEG and Signal Acquisition using Mydaq and Lab view Application. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE) ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-8 Issue-7,Page no:2799-2806, May, 2019.

[14]. Sulaiman N, Al-Fakih NM, Rashid M, Jadin MS, Mustafa M, Samsuri F. Offline EEG-Based DC Motor Control for Wheelchair Application. In Proceedings of the 11th National Technical Seminar on Unmanned System Technology 2019 2021 (pp. 965-980). Springer, Singapore.

[15]. Turnip A, Kusumandari DE, Arson GW, Setiadikarunia D. Electric Wheelchair Controlled-Based EMG with Back propagation Neural Network Classifier. Cyber Physical, Computer and Automation System: A Study of New Technologies. 2021:149-55.